

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/788,413	03/01/2004	David M. Anderson	05900010AA	4972
30743 7590 09/25/2007 WHITHAM, CURTIS & CHRISTOFFERSON & COOK, P.C. 11491 SUNSET HILLS ROAD			EXAMINER	
			WANG, SHENGJUN	
SUITE 340 RESTON, VA 20190		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1251014, 111	-		1617	
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			09/25/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/788,413	ANDERSON ET AL.		
Office A	Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Shengjun Wang	1617		
The MAILIN Period for Reply	IG DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address		
WHICHEVER IS L - Extensions of time may after SIX (6) MONTHS - If NO period for reply is - Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the second sec	TATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 from the mailing date of this communication. specified above, the maximum statutory period view set or extended period for reply will, by statute, the Office later than three months after the mailing ustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDOI	ON. timely filed on the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1) Responsive	to communication(s) filed on 09 Ju	ıl <u>y 2007</u> .			
2a) ☐ This action i	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
· ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in acc	cordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims	5				
4a) Of the ab 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1,3</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s)	05 is/are pending in the application ove claim(s) 2,4,15-17,27-82 and is/are allowed. 5-14,18-26 and 83-91,93-105 is/are is/are objected to. are subject to restriction and/or	92 is/are withdrawn from consider rejected.	deration.		
Application Papers					
10) ☐ The drawing(Applicant may Replacement	ition is objected to by the Examiners) filed on is/are: a) acceptor and request that any objection to the order drawing sheet(s) including the correct lectaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is c	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgr a) All b) 1. Certific 2. Certific 3. Copies	nent is made of a claim for foreign Some * c) None of: ed copies of the priority documents ed copies of the priority documents s of the certified copies of the prior ation from the International Bureau ned detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica ity documents have been recei u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage		
Attachmort/=\		•			
	n's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) e Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date		

Application/Control Number: 10/788,413 Page 2

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 30-74, 79-80, 82 and 92 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on July 9, 2007. Note claims 79 and 80 are drawn to method.

2. Claims 2, 4, 15-17, 27-29, 75-78, 81 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on July 9, 2007.

Applicant's election with traverse of invention group I, in the reply filed on July 9, 2007 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that group I and II should be in one group as invention group II are directed to powder which upon reconstitution form the composition of invention group I. The traverse is persuasive and groups I and II are combined and examined herein.

3. Applicant's election of dantrolene sodium as the medicament in the reply filed on July 9, 2007 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Note since dantrolene sodium was elected as the species of medicament, claims drawn to medicaments of dantrolene and other active ingredients are considered not read on the elected species and are therefore withdrawn from further consideration.

Application/Control Number: 10/788,413

Page 3

Art Unit: 1617

. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections 35 U.S.C. 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1, 3, 5-8, 10-12, 22, 95 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 5320413 (IDS).
- 6. JP 5320413 discloses a an dantrolene sodium suspension comprising about 1-50 mg/ml of dantrolene sodium, particular examples disclosed are with a concentration of 1 mg/ml and 5 mg/ml. The composition further comprising carboxylic salt, such as sodium citrate, sodium tartrate, etc. see the entire document, particularly, columns 5-6. As to the limitation of "safe for injection," note since '413 disclosed a pharmaceutical composition, it would have been reasonably expected it is be safe for injection, absent evidence to the contrary. Note, when the reference discloses all the limitations of a claim except a property or function, and the examiner cannot determine whether or not the reference inherently possesses properties which anticipate or render obvious the claimed invention but has basis for shifting the burden of proof to applicant as in In re Fitzgerald, 619 F.2d 67, 205 USPQ 594 (CCPA 1980). See MPEP § \$ 2112-2112.02. The carboxylic acid salts would meet the limitation of water soluble surfactant. Note, the composition does not have mannitol.

Application/Control Number: 10/788,413 Page 4

Art Unit: 1617

Claim Rejections 35 U.S.C. 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1, 3, 5-14, 18-26, 83-91, 93-105 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ellis et al. (IDS), in view of Patel et al. (6,294,192), Ramstack et al. (US 6,495,164), Bosch et al. (US 5,510,118), and JP 5320413(IDS).
- 9. Ellis teaches dantrolene sodium is an old and well known therapeutical agent, and are known to be administered by any conventional method. The dosage amount is known to be in the range of up to 139 mg/kg of body weight per day. See, particularly, col. 1, lines 10-66, col. 4, lines 1-5.
- 10. Ellis et al. do not teach expressly the particular composition in high concentration, or be capable of being formulated to a composition in high concentration, or the particular pharmaceutical acceptable carrier and excipients.
- 11. However, Patel et al. teaches a pharmaceutical composition and method for delivery of hydrophobic therapeutical agents, such as dantrolene sodium, the composition comprise the therapeutical agent, solubilizer, and surfactants, wherein the solubilizer may be alcohols, such as ethylene glycol, propylene glycol, glycerol, polyethylene glycol (PEG 200-600); amide, such as dimethylacetamide, or mixture thereof. The amount of solubilizer is not particularly limited. The composition may be in the form of solution (diluted preconcentrate), semi-solid dispersion, or multiphase dispersion. The composition may be formulated into various forms suitable for

Art Unit: 1617

conventional delivery, including oral, topical, transdermal, ocular, parenteral, etc. See, particularly, the abstract, column 24, line 31, col. 25 line 15 to col. 28, line 24, and the claims. For multi-phase dispersion, the solid phase may be in milled, micronized forms. See, particularly, col. 27, lines 11-42. Patel further disclosed that, for dispersion, the particle size is typically less than 20 nm. See, col. 28, line 44 to col. 29, line 4. Ramstack et al. teaches an improved injectable suspension with a concentration of more than 30 mg/ml, wherein the composition is characterized by containing surfactants. See, particularly, the abstract and the claims. Bosch et al. teaches a suspension composition suitable for parenteral administration, comprising water-insoluble nanoparticle therapeutical agent, wherein the average particle sized is about 400 nm and a surface modifier. Suitable surface modifiers include benzalkonium chloride. See, particularly, the abstract, and the claims. JP 5320413 teaches a dantrolene sodium suspension comprising about 1-50 mg/ml of dantrolene sodium, see the entire document, particularly, columns 5-6.

Therefore, it would have been prima facie obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the claimed the invention was made, to make a concentrated dantrolene sodium composition herein by method known in the art, such as incorporate the known pharmaceutical carrier, and/or excipients polyethylene glycol, dimethylacetamide, and/or benzalkonium chloride, either in solution, or in suspension.

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make a concentrated dantrolene sodium composition herein by method known in the art, such as incorporate the known pharmaceutical carrier, and/or excipients polyethylene glycol, dimethylacetamide, and/or benzalkonium chloride, either in solution, or in suspension because dantrolene sodium is known

Art Unit: 1617

to be administered up to about 1000 mg per day (for patient with body weight of 75 kg) and make a high concentration composition is apparent for its convenience for administration. Further, concentration up to 50 mg/ml is known in the art. Further, method of making such concentrated composition is known in the art, as evidenced by the cited prior art, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such composition and enjoy a reasonable expectation of success. The employment of the particular combination herein is seen to be a selection from amongst equally suitable material and as such obvious, absent evidence to the contrary. Ex parte Winters 11 USPQ 2nd 1387 (at 1388). Further, The optimization of a result effective parameter, e.g., particle size for an injectable composition, is considered within the skill of the artisan. See, In re Boesch and Slaney (CCPA) 204 USPQ 215. As to the dry powder, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make a dry powder, which upon reconstitution would yield the solution or suspensions, because of the obvious convenience for storage. As to claims 103-105 which recite steps of simply combining the ingredients and mixing the combination, note such mixing step would have been within the purview of ordinary skilled artisan.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shengjun Wang whose telephone number is (571) 272-0632. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7:00 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan, can be reached on (571) 272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 7 Application/Control Number: 10/788,413

Art Unit: 1617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shengjun Wang MANY

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1617